### Ambient Ammonium Contribution to total Nitrogen Deposition

2016 NADP Annual Meeting Santa Fe, New Mexico November 3, 2016

Rich Scheffe, Jason Lynch, Donna Schwede, James Kelly, Halil Cakir, Adam Reff U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

and for thinking – Jesse Bash, Gary Lear, Bret Schichtel, John Walker, Karen Wesson

#### **Evolutional change in National Air Pollution Management**



## Question:

• What is the contribution of ambient particulate  $NH<sub>4</sub>$  (pNH<sub>4</sub>)to total nitrogen deposition?

# Challenge:

- Contribution of ambient  $pNH<sub>4</sub>$  (or any ambient species) to dry deposition is estimated through models and widely available.
- Also available is wet (or precipitation) concentration of  $NH_4$  (wNH<sub>4</sub>) through measurements and models.
- However, wNH<sub>4</sub> is derived from transfer of both ambient NH<sub>3</sub> and pNH<sub>4</sub> to aqueous phase through cloud droplet formation (pNH4), mass transfer of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  to cloud/fog and eventual precipitation scavenging. Noting that virtually all  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  transferred to wet phase is hydrated upon dissolution and then dissociates to form  $wNH_{4}$ .
- Consequently, wNH4 reflects the aggregate contribution from ambient NHx without a clear path to delineate separate contributions between pNH4 and NH3.



## Why do we care?

- Important ecological effects (e.g. eutrophication) are associated with total nitrogen deposition, to which pNH4 (as well as other pollutants) can be a significant contributor
- pNH4, as well as  $pNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $pSO<sub>A</sub>$ , are components of total PM mass; in addition  $pNO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $pSO<sub>4</sub>$  are also transformation products of the criteria pollutants of NOx and SOx
- Deposition driven ecosystem effects that have the potential to be adverse to public welfare are important to be considered in the current NAAQS reviews
- Assessing the contribution of the various nitrogen species to the total nitrogen deposition in ecosystems allows us to better understand the emissions sources contributing to adverse ecosystem effects
- Understanding the contribution of the various species to the total ecosystem deposition then helps inform decisions on the best and most appropriate policy option(s) for controlling sources and reducing associated impacts

## What we know about ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>)

- Basically, all  $NH<sub>4</sub>$  is derived from ammonia (NH3)
- $NH<sub>4</sub> + NH<sub>3</sub>$  = NHx, which nationally makes up nearly half of all nitrogen deposition



Challenge: how much N deposition is derived from ambient  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ ?

### Estimating  $pNH<sub>A</sub>$  contribution to wet deposition

- Assume mass transfer rates, regardless of mechanism, of  $pNH<sub>4</sub>$ and  $NH_{3}$ , from ambient to aqueous phase are identical; reasoning:
	- NH<sub>3</sub> is highly soluble and enhanced by dissociation to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>
	- $pNH<sub>4</sub>$  is efficiently removed through cloud droplet formation and scavenging
- Consequently, the relative rates of loss to the aqueous phase are given by ratios of ambient concentrations, leading to:
	- $pNH_{A}$  wet = ([ $pNH_{A}$ ]/[NHx]) \*wetdepNH<sub>4</sub> where  $pNH<sub>4</sub>$  wet = wet NH4 deposition attributed to pNH<sub>4</sub>

and, [ ] extracted from CMAQ; deposition from TDEP hybrid

#### Relative concentration ratios of  $pNH<sub>4</sub>$  and NHx

- Expect higher ratios in East given available  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $SO<sub>4</sub>$  relative to West
- Also expect higher ratios in North given temperature dependence on  $NH<sub>4</sub>$  - NH<sub>3</sub> thermodynamics
- Spatial patterns mostly dominated by excess  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , influenced by  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , NOx and SOx emissions, sea salts, and thermodynamics



#### Contribution of  $pNH<sub>A</sub>$  to wet NHx deposition Note dry dep ▲



Capacity differences between NOy and NOy plus particulate  $NH<sub>4</sub>$  referenced to total N deposition.

totndep\_minus\_nh3dep (kg-N/ha)

 $0.3 - 2.2$  $2.3 - 3.6$  $3.7 - 5.2$  $5.3 - 6.8$  $+69 - 87$  $\cdot$  8.8 - 17.0

NHx + NOy deposition – NH<sub>3</sub> contributions

NOy dep (kg-N/ha)  $\cdot$  0.3 - 2.2  $-2.3 - 3.6$  $3.7 - 5.2$  $5.3 - 6.8$  $+ 6.9 - 8.7$  $+ 8.8 - 15.0$ 

NHx + NOy deposition

tdep\_cal\_totn (kg-N/ha)  $\bullet$  0.7 - 2.2  $\cdot$  2.3 - 3.6  $-3.7 - 5.2$  $5.3 - 6.8$  $• 6.9 - 8.7$  $* 8.8 - 65.4$ 

NOy deposition

#### Critical Load exceedance example: Forest health in relation to N deposition components



### Changes in ambient  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  and pNH<sub>4</sub>

increasing  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  trend, decreasing  $NH<sub>4</sub>$ 



## Change in ambient  $pNH_4/NHx$ <br>Reflecting reductions in NOx and SOx emissions leading

to more relative free  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ 









**ANH4/NH3\_02**

#### Emission changes



## Next Steps

- Building a weight-of-evidence" argument
	- Examine quantifiable scavenging metrics in CTMs
		- e.g., GEOSchem estimates scavenging of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , but does not include aqueous phase chemistry
	- Develop CMAQ process analysis results specific to  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ production and loss, resources permitting
	- Explore other analyses, e.g.
		- Insights from atmospheric column profile data sets
			- Expected enhanced  $NH<sub>4</sub>$  above surface level
		- Observation sets before and after precipitation events
		- Temporally resolved analyses of modeled results
			- Are there significant differences in  $NH<sub>4</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>$  ratios before precipitation?
- Refine CL exceedance analyses
- Monitoring Implications